

Subspaces and orthogonal decompositions generated by bounded orthogonal systems

Olivier GUÉDON Shahar MENDELSON¹
Alain PAJOR Nicole TOMCZAK-JAEGERMANN²

August 31, 2006

Abstract

We investigate properties of subspaces of L_2 spanned by subsets of a finite orthonormal system bounded in the L_∞ norm. We first prove that there exists an arbitrarily large subset of this orthonormal system on which the L_1 and the L_2 norms are close, up to a logarithmic factor. Considering for example the Walsh system, we deduce the existence of two orthogonal subspaces of L_2^n of dimension roughly $n/2$ spanned by ± 1 vectors (i.e. Kashin's splitting) and in logarithmic distance to the Euclidean space. The same method applies for $p > 2$, and, in connection with the Λ_p problem (solved by Bourgain), we study large subsets of this orthonormal system on which the L_2 and the L_p norms are close (again, up to a logarithmic factor).

0 Introduction

In this note we consider a space L_2 of functions on a probability space and we investigate properties of its subspaces spanned by a finite subset of an orthonormal system which consists of functions bounded in L_∞ . Typical examples of such systems are the trigonometric and the Walsh systems.

⁰2000 MSC-classification: 46B07, 41A45, 94B75, 52B05, 62G99

¹Partially supported by an Australian Research Council Discovery grant.

²This author holds the Canada Research Chair in Geometric Analysis.

The question we study is whether there is a subspace spanned by a large subset of the orthonormal system on which the L_2 and L_p norms are close. The two cases we focus on are when $p > 2$ and $p = 1$. Formally we address

Question 1 *Let $(\varphi_j)_{j=1}^n$ be an orthonormal system in L_2 with $\|\varphi_j\|_{L_\infty} \leq K$.*

1. *Let $1 \leq k < n$. Is there a subset $I \subset \{1, \dots, n\}$ of cardinality larger than $n - k$ and A_1 , such that for every $(a_i)_{i=1}^n \in \mathbb{C}^n$,*

$$\left(\sum_{i \in I} |a_i|^2 \right)^{1/2} \leq A_1 \left\| \sum_{i \in I} a_i \varphi_i \right\|_{L_1} ?$$

2. *For $p > 2$ is there a large set $J \subset \{1, \dots, n\}$ and $A_p > 0$ such that for every $(a_i)_{i=1}^n \in \mathbb{C}^n$,*

$$\left(\sum_{j \in J} |a_j|^2 \right)^{1/2} \geq A_p \left\| \sum_{j \in J} a_j \varphi_j \right\|_{L_p} ?$$

First part of Question 1 is connected with the problem of selecting a proportion of characters. Improving a result of Bourgain, Talagrand [Ta2] showed that there exists a set I of cardinality proportional to n (that is, $|I| \sim \delta_0 n$, where $\delta_0 > 0$ depending on K is a small constant) such that on the span of $(\varphi_i)_{i \in I}$, the L_1 and L_2 norms are equivalent with $A_1 \leq CK(\log n \log \log n)^{1/2}$. Here we show that a similar result holds for every $1 \leq k < n$. More precisely, introducing the spaces L_p^n ($1 \leq p \leq \infty$) in (2.2) below, we proved:

Theorem A. *Let $(\varphi_j)_{j=1}^n$ be an orthonormal system in L_2^n with $\|\varphi_j\|_{L_\infty^n} \leq K$ for $1 \leq j \leq n$. For any $1 \leq k < n$ there exists a subset $I \subset \{1, \dots, n\}$ with $|I| \geq n - k$ such that for every $a = (a_i) \in \mathbb{C}^n$,*

$$\left(\sum_{i \in I} |a_i|^2 \right)^{1/2} \leq C K \sqrt{n/k} \sqrt{\log n} (\log(1+k))^{3/2} \left\| \sum_{i \in I} a_i \varphi_i \right\|_{L_1^n},$$

where $C > 0$ is a universal constant.

Although Talagrand proved a better estimate, it is applicable only when $n - k$ is a small proportion of n . Our result allows us to obtain a Kashin

splitting of \mathbb{C}^{2n} spanned by a subset of a bounded orthonormal system. Recall that a Kashin splitting of \mathbb{C}^{2n} consists of two orthogonal subspaces E_0, E_1 of \mathbb{C}^{2n} , both of dimension n , such that on E_0 and E_1 the L_1^{2n} and L_2^{2n} norms are equivalent. In other words, there is an absolute constant c (independent of n) such that for every $x \in E_i$, $i = 0, 1$,

$$c\|x\|_{L_2^{2n}} \leq \|x\|_{L_1^{2n}} \leq \|x\|_{L_2^{2n}}.$$

We show in Theorem 2.4 that if $(\varphi_i)_{i=1}^n$ is a bounded orthonormal system as above, then we can find a subset I of $\{1, \dots, n\}$, roughly of cardinality $n/2$, such that, setting $I^c = \{1, \dots, n\} \setminus I$, if $E_0 = \text{span}(\varphi_i)_{i \in I}$ and $E_1 = \text{span}(\varphi_i)_{i \in I^c}$, then the L_1^n and L_2^n are close on E_i . This applies in particular to the trigonometric or the Walsh system. In the latter case, it gives a Kashin splitting of \mathbb{R}^n spanned by ± 1 -valued vectors.

Second part of Question 1 is connected with the Λ_p problem which has been solved by Bourgain [B]. He proved that if $(\varphi_i)_{i=1}^n$ is an orthonormal system of functions bounded by K in L_∞ , then one can find $J \subset \{1, \dots, n\}$, $|J| \geq C(K)n^{2/p}$, such that on the span of $(\varphi_j)_{j \in J}$ the L_2 and L_p are equivalent (with an absolute constant). We will prove here the following

Theorem B. *Let $(\varphi_j)_{j=1}^n \subset L_2$ be an orthonormal system bounded in L_∞ , $\|\varphi_j\|_{L_\infty} \leq K$ for $1 \leq j \leq n$. Let $2 < p < \infty$. There exists a subset $J \subset \{1, \dots, n\}$ of cardinality $|J| \geq c_p K^{4/p} n^{2/p} (\log n)^3$ such that for all scalars a_1, \dots, a_n ,*

$$\left\| \sum_{j \in J} a_j \varphi_j \right\|_{L_p} \leq K C_p (\log n)^{3/2} \left(\sum_{j \in J} a_j^2 \right)^{1/2}$$

where c_p and C_p are constants depending only on p .

The estimates we present here do not reconstruct the full strength of Bourgain's solution. The equivalence constant we obtain is slightly weaker, namely, $C(p, K) \log^{3/2} n$, but the set J is larger, with cardinality at least $C(p, K)n^{2/p} \log^3 n$.

The proofs of all these estimates are simple and are based on arguments coming from Empirical Processes Theory.

Acknowledgement: Part of the work on this article was conducted during the Trimesters "Phenomena in High Dimensions" held at the Schrödinger Institute, Vienna in the summer of 2005 and at the Centre Emile Borel,

Henri Poincaré Institute, Paris in the Spring of 2006. We are grateful to these Institutes for their hospitality and the excellent working atmosphere it provided.

1 Preliminaries

We begin with a notational convention. Throughout, all constants are positive numbers denoted by c, C etc. Their values can change from line to line. We require two preliminary results. The first one is an estimate on the supremum of the following empirical process defined on the probability space (Ω, μ) ,

$$\sup_{f \in F} \left| \frac{1}{k} \sum_{i=1}^k f^2(X_i) - \mathbb{E}f^2 \right|,$$

where F is a class of functions and X_1, \dots, X_k are independent random variables distributed according to μ . The argument is due to Rudelson [R1] and the formulation below was implicit in [RV] or [GR]. We present it for the sake of completeness.

Recall that for a metric space (T, d) , an *admissible sequence* of T is a collection of subsets of T , $\{T_s : s \geq 0\}$, such that for every $s \geq 1$, $|T_s| = 2^{2^s}$ and $|T_0| = 1$.

Definition 1.1 [Ta1] For a metric space (T, d) , define

$$\gamma_2(T, d) = \inf \sup_{t \in T} \sum_{s=0}^{\infty} 2^{s/2} d(t, T_s),$$

where the infimum is taken with respect to all admissible sequences of T .

Theorem 1.2 There exists an absolute constant c for which the following holds. Let X_1, \dots, X_k be independent copies of X and let

$$d_{\infty, k}(f, g) = \max_{1 \leq i \leq k} |f(X_i) - g(X_i)|$$

and set $U_k = (\mathbb{E}\gamma_2^2(F, d_{\infty, k}))^{1/2}$ and $\sigma_F = (\sup_{f \in F} \mathbb{E}f^2(X))^{1/2}$. Then,

$$\mathbb{E} \sup_{f \in F} \left| \sum_{i=1}^k (f^2(X_i) - \mathbb{E}f^2(X)) \right| \leq c \max \left(\sqrt{k} \sigma_F U_k, U_k^2 \right). \quad (1.1)$$

Proof. Set

$$A := \mathbb{E} \sup_{f \in F} \left| \sum_{i=1}^k (f^2(X_i) - \mathbb{E} f^2(X)) \right|,$$

then by a symmetrization argument [GZ],

$$A \leq \mathbb{E}_X \mathbb{E}_\varepsilon \sup_{f \in F} \left| \sum_{i=1}^k \varepsilon_i f^2(X_i) \right|,$$

where (ε_i) are iid (± 1) Bernoulli random variables. Since the Rademacher process $\left(\sum_{i=1}^k \varepsilon_i f^2(X_i) \right)_{f \in F}$ is subgaussian with respect to the ℓ_2^k metric, the inner expectation is governed by the random metric

$$d(f, g) = \left(\sum_{i=1}^k (f^2(X_i) - g^2(X_i))^2 \right)^{1/2}.$$

Recall that $d_{\infty, k}(f, g) = \max_{1 \leq i \leq k} |f(X_i) - g(X_i)|$, and thus for every $f, g \in F$,

$$d(f, g) \leq 2d_{\infty, k}(f, g) \sup_{f \in F} \left(\sum_{i=1}^k f^2(X_i) \right)^{1/2}.$$

Therefore, by a generic chaining argument [Ta1], for every X_1, \dots, X_k

$$\mathbb{E}_\varepsilon \sup_{f \in F} \left| \sum_{i=1}^k \varepsilon_i f^2(X_i) \right| \leq c\gamma_2(F, d) \leq c \sup_{f \in F} \left(\sum_{i=1}^k f^2(X_i) \right)^{1/2} \gamma_2(F, d_{\infty, k}),$$

implying that

$$\mathbb{E}_X \mathbb{E}_\varepsilon \sup_{f \in F} \left| \sum_{i=1}^k \varepsilon_i f^2(X_i) \right| \leq c \left(\mathbb{E}_X \sup_{f \in F} \sum_{i=1}^k f^2(X_i) \right)^{1/2} (\mathbb{E}_X \gamma_2^2(F, d_{\infty, k}))^{1/2}.$$

Since

$$\mathbb{E}_X \sup_{f \in F} \sum_{i=1}^k f^2(X_i) \leq A + k \sup_{f \in F} \mathbb{E}_X f^2(X)$$

we get

$$A \leq c(A + k\sigma_F^2)^{1/2} U_k,$$

from which the claim easily follows. ■

The second preliminary we require deals with the following situation. Let $n \geq 1$ and define a random variable Y by $Y = j$ with probability $1/n$, for $1 \leq j \leq n$. Let Y_1, \dots, Y_n be independent copies of Y and for $1 \leq k \leq n$ set $\alpha_k := |\{Y_i : 1 \leq i \leq k\}|$.

Lemma 1.3 *For $1 \leq k \leq n$, $\mathbb{E}\alpha_k = n(1 - (1 - 1/n)^k)$. In particular, letting $\lambda := k/n$,*

$$k \frac{1 - e^{-\lambda}}{\lambda} \leq \mathbb{E}\alpha_k \leq k \frac{1 - e^{-\lambda} + (en)^{-\lambda}}{\lambda}.$$

Furthermore, for $3 \leq k \leq n$ and every $0 < \delta < 1$,

$$\mathbb{P}\left(|\alpha_k - \mathbb{E}\alpha_k| \geq \sqrt{\delta k/2}\right) \leq 1/\delta.$$

Proof. The argument is straightforward. First, for $k \leq n$ it is easy to calculate the conditional expectation,

$$\mathbb{E}(\alpha_k | \alpha_{k-1}) = \alpha_{k-1}^2/n + (\alpha_{k-1} + 1)(1 - \alpha_{k-1}/n) = 1 + \alpha_{k-1}(1 - 1/n).$$

Thus $\mathbb{E}\alpha_k = 1 + (1 - 1/n)\mathbb{E}\alpha_{k-1}$ implying the desired formula for $\mathbb{E}\alpha_k$, by iterating and noting that $\alpha_1 = 1$. The estimates for $\mathbb{E}\alpha_k$ easily follow.

Similarly, $\mathbb{E}(\alpha_k^2 | \alpha_{k-1}) = 1 + (2 - 1/n)\alpha_{k-1} + (1 - 2/n)\alpha_{k-1}^2$, which yields

$$\mathbb{E}\alpha_k^2 = 1 + (2 - 1/n)\mathbb{E}\alpha_{k-1} + (1 - 2/n)\mathbb{E}\alpha_{k-1}^2.$$

Thus, $\mathbb{E}\alpha_k^2 - (\mathbb{E}\alpha_k)^2$ is equal to

$$\begin{aligned} & \left(1 - \frac{2}{n}\right)(\mathbb{E}\alpha_{k-1}^2 - (\mathbb{E}\alpha_{k-1})^2) + \frac{1}{n}\mathbb{E}\alpha_{k-1} \left(1 - \frac{1}{n}\mathbb{E}\alpha_{k-1}\right) \\ & \leq \left(1 - \frac{2}{n}\right)(\mathbb{E}\alpha_{k-1}^2 - (\mathbb{E}\alpha_{k-1})^2) + \frac{1}{4} \leq (\mathbb{E}\alpha_{k-1}^2 - (\mathbb{E}\alpha_{k-1})^2) + \frac{1}{4}. \end{aligned}$$

By iterating, it follows that for $k \geq 3$, $0 \leq \mathbb{E}\alpha_k^2 - (\mathbb{E}\alpha_k)^2 \leq 1 + (k-1)/4 \leq k/2$. Chebyshev's inequality implies the required deviation estimate. \blacksquare

2 Sections of ℓ_1^n

Here we use Theorem 1.2 to prove our results on uniformly bounded orthonormal systems in L_1 .

In all our applications we will consider a probability space (Ω, ν) and, functions X_1, \dots, X_k in L_∞ (for $k = 1, 2, \dots$) with $\|X_i\|_{L_\infty} \leq K$, where $K \geq 1$ is a fixed constant. In this setting define the semi-norm $\|\cdot\|_{X,k}$ on L_1 by

$$\|y\|_{X,k} = \max_{1 \leq i \leq k} |\langle X_i, y \rangle| \quad \text{for } y \in L_1. \quad (2.1)$$

The two main applications we present here are based on comparing the L_1 - and L_2 -norms on subspaces generated by subsets of bounded orthonormal systems. In this part, we work in a central (although slightly restricted) setting. Namely, we consider the space \mathbb{C}^n as a function space on $\{1, \dots, n\}$ equipped with the normalized counting measure and by L_p^n (with $1 \leq p \leq \infty$) we denote the corresponding L_p space, with the norm denoted by $\|\cdot\|_{L_p^n}$ and the inner product $\langle \cdot, \cdot \rangle$. That is, for $1 \leq p < \infty$ and $y = (y_i) \in \mathbb{C}^n$,

$$\|y\|_{L_p^n} = \left(\frac{1}{n} \sum_{i=1}^n |y_i|^p \right)^{1/p}. \quad (2.2)$$

By $B_{L_p^n}$ denote the unit ball in L_p^n . (The case $p = \infty$ is treated by a standard modification.)

Our first theorem in this section allows us to find subsets I of $\{1, \dots, n\}$ such that on the corresponding subspace of L_1^n , the norms from L_1^n and from L_2^n are comparable. Moreover, cardinality of I can be taken arbitrarily close to n .

Theorem 2.1 *Let $(\varphi_j)_{j=1}^n$ be an orthonormal system in L_2^n with $\|\varphi_j\|_{L_\infty^n} \leq K$ for $1 \leq j \leq n$. For any $1 \leq k < n$ there exists a subset $I \subset \{1, \dots, n\}$ with $|I| \geq n - k$ such that for every $a = (a_i) \in \mathbb{C}^n$,*

$$\left(\sum_{i \in I} |a_i|^2 \right)^{1/2} \leq C K \sqrt{n/k} \sqrt{\log n} (\log(1+k))^{3/2} \left\| \sum_{i \in I} a_i \varphi_i \right\|_{L_1^n} \quad (2.3)$$

where $C > 0$ is a universal constant.

Our theorem should be compared to a theorem by Talagrand and Bourgain ([Ta2]), which gives subsets I of cardinality that is a sufficiently small proportion of n (that is, $|I| \sim \delta_0 n$, where $\delta_0 > 0$ depending on K is a small constant), but with a better estimate $\sqrt{\log n \log \log n}$. Let us also note that for the trigonometric system, it is well known and easy to see from Szemerédi's theorem, that for any subset I whose cardinality is a fixed proportion of n (say, $|I| \sim n/4$), the upper bound must asymptotically go to infinity as $n \rightarrow \infty$, and we have been informed by J. Bourgain that this upper bound involves a logarithmic term $\sqrt{\log n}$.

The proof of Theorem 2.1 requires the following upper bound of the γ_2 functional, obtained by entropy estimates. Recall that given bodies K, B in \mathbb{C}^n the covering number $N(K, B)$ is the smallest number of translates of B needed to cover K . For a positive integer ℓ the entropy number $e_\ell(K, B)$ is the smallest ε such that $N(K, \varepsilon B) \leq 2^{\ell-1}$. For a linear operator $T : X \rightarrow Y$ between two (finite-dimensional) normed spaces X, Y (with the unit balls B_X, B_Y , respectively), we let $e_\ell(T) = e_\ell(T(B_X), B_Y)$.

Lemma 2.2 *There exist a constant $C > 0$ such that for every $k \leq n$ and X_1, \dots, X_k with $\|X_i\|_{L_\infty^n} \leq K$,*

$$\gamma_2(B_{L_1^n}, \|\cdot\|_{X,k}) \leq CK \sqrt{\log n (\log(1+k))}^{3/2}.$$

Proof. Recall that by its definition, the γ_2 functional is bounded by an appropriate entropy integral (see [Ta1]). In turn, writing this integral in terms of entropy numbers of operators (see e.g. [P] chapter 5), and noting that the norms on ℓ_∞^n and L_∞^n coincide, we get

$$\gamma_2(B_{L_1^n}, \|\cdot\|_{X,k}) \leq C \sum_{\ell=1}^k \frac{e_\ell(S^*)}{\sqrt{\ell}},$$

where C is an absolute constant, and the operator $S : \ell_1^k \rightarrow \ell_\infty^n$ is defined by $S(e_i) = X_i$ for every $i = 1, \dots, k$. Also, observe that although the above sum should be formally extended to infinity, the exponential decay of entropy numbers e_ℓ for ℓ larger than the rank of the operator, allows one to stop the summation at $\ell = k$.

The operator $S^* : \ell_1^n \rightarrow \ell_\infty^k$ satisfies $\|S^*\| \leq K$ and, by Proposition 3 of Carl [C], for $\ell \leq k$,

$$e_\ell(S^*) \leq cK \sqrt{\frac{\log(1+k/\ell) \log(1+n/\ell)}{\ell}}.$$

A simple computation completes the proof. \blacksquare

Proof of Theorem 2.1. Let X be the random vector taking the value φ_i with probability $1/n$. Let $1 \leq k < n$ and let X_1, \dots, X_k be independent copies of X . We shall show that, with positive probability, the set of vectors $(\varphi_i)_{i \in I}$ defined by $\{(\varphi_j)_{j \leq n} \setminus (X_i)_{i=1}^k\}$ satisfies the required inequality.

Denote by Γ the $k \times n$ matrix with rows X_1, \dots, X_k , and thus, for $y \in \mathbb{C}^n$ $\Gamma y = \sum_{i=1}^k \langle X_i, y \rangle e_i$. For $y \in \mathbb{C}^n$ set $|y| = (\sum_{i=1}^n |y_i|^2)^{1/2}$ and put $S_{L_2^n} = \{y : \sum_{j=1}^n |y_j|^2 = n\}$ to be the unit sphere in L_2^n . Note that for any star-shaped subset T in \mathbb{C}^n the following implication holds: whenever $\rho > 0$ satisfies the inequality

$$\sup_{y \in T \cap \rho S_{L_2^n}} \left| \sum_{i=1}^k (\langle X_i, y \rangle^2 - \mathbb{E} \langle X_i, y \rangle^2) \right| \leq \frac{k\rho^2}{3n} \quad (2.4)$$

then

$$\text{diam}(\ker \Gamma \cap T) \leq \rho. \quad (2.5)$$

Indeed, since $\mathbb{E} \langle X_i, y \rangle^2$ is constant ($= \rho^2/n$) on $T \cap \rho S_{L_2^n}$, then condition (2.4) implies that for all $y \in T \cap \rho S_{L_2^n}$,

$$\frac{2k\rho^2}{3n} \leq \sum_{i=1}^k \langle X_i, y \rangle^2 = |\Gamma y|^2 \leq \frac{4k\rho^2}{3n}. \quad (2.6)$$

The homogeneity of (2.6) and the fact that T is star-shaped implying that if the lower bound in (2.6) holds for all $y \in T \cap \rho S_{L_2^n}$, then the same lower bound also holds for all $y \in T$ with $\|y\|_{L_2^n} \geq \rho$. This in turn shows that if $y \in \ker \Gamma \cap T$ then $\|y\|_{L_2^n} \leq \rho$, as required in (2.5).

Finally note that since the $n \times n$ matrix whose rows are $\varphi_1, \dots, \varphi_n$ is of course orthogonal, then the subspace $\ker \Gamma$ is spanned by the vectors $(\varphi_i)_{i \in I} = \{(\varphi_j)_{j \leq n} \setminus (X_i)_{i=1}^k\}$. The cardinality of this set satisfies $|I| \geq n - k$, with the sharp inequality if some among values of X_1, \dots, X_k are equal. For $T = B_{L_1^n}$, this shows that whenever ρ satisfies (2.4), then

$$\left\| \sum_{i \in I} a_i \varphi_i \right\|_{L_2^n} \leq \rho \left\| \sum_{i \in I} a_i \varphi_i \right\|_{L_1^n}$$

for all scalars a_i , proving that (2.3) is satisfied with the constant ρ .

In order to find ρ which satisfies (2.4) with positive probability, we use Theorem 1.2 and observe that $\sigma_F = \rho/\sqrt{n}$. It follows that

$$\mathbb{E} \sup_{y \in B_{L_1^n} \cap \rho S_{L_2^n}} \left| \sum_{i=1}^k (\langle X_i, y \rangle^2 - \mathbb{E} \langle X_i, y \rangle^2) \right| \leq c \max \left(\rho \sqrt{\frac{k}{n}} U_k, U_k^2 \right) \quad (2.7)$$

where $U_k = (\mathbb{E} \gamma_2^2(B_{L_1^n} \cap \rho S_{L_2^n}, \|\cdot\|_{X,k}))^{1/2}$. By Lemma 2.2,

$$\gamma_2(B_{L_1^n} \cap \rho S_{L_2^n}, \|\cdot\|_{X,k}) \leq \gamma_2(B_{L_1^n}, \|\cdot\|_{X,k}) \leq cK \sqrt{\log n} (\log(1+k))^{3/2}.$$

This gives an estimate for U_k that we plug in the right-hand side of (2.7). To conclude, we use this estimate to show that for some numerical constant C' and for the choice of

$$\rho = C' K \sqrt{\log n} (\log(1+k))^{3/2} \sqrt{n/k},$$

(2.4) holds with positive probability. ■

Remark 2.3 An analogous result to Theorem 2.1 also holds with probability close to 1. For any $0 < \delta' < 1$, first observe that by (2.7) and Chebyshev's inequality, for any $\rho' > 0$ we get a set of probability $\geq 1 - \delta'$ on which

$$\sup_{y \in B_{L_1^n} \cap \rho' S_{L_2^n}} \left| \sum_{i=1}^k (\langle X_i, y \rangle^2 - \mathbb{E} \langle X_i, y \rangle^2) \right| \leq (c/\delta') \max \left(\rho' \sqrt{\frac{k}{n}} U_k, U_k^2 \right).$$

Setting $\rho' := cK \sqrt{\log n} (\log(1+k))^{3/2} / \sqrt{\delta} \delta'$, a similar calculation as in the theorem above shows that on the set of probability $\geq 1 - \delta'$ (corresponding to ρ') we have an analogue of (2.4),

$$\sup_{y \in B_{L_1^n} \cap \rho' S_{L_2^n}} \left| \sum_{i=1}^k (\langle X_i, y \rangle^2 - \mathbb{E} \langle X_i, y \rangle^2) \right| \leq \frac{k\rho'^2}{3n}. \quad (2.8)$$

Again the same argument as before shows that on the same set we have

$$\text{diam}(\ker \Gamma \cap B_{L_1^n}) \leq \rho' = cK \sqrt{\log n} (\log(1+k))^{3/2} / \sqrt{\delta} \delta',$$

as claimed.

Let us recall an important theorem concerning Euclidean sections of convex bodies, so-called Kashin's decomposition of ℓ_1^{2k} ([K], see also [S] for another proof and [ST], [P] for important generalizations, primarily connected with the notion of volume ratio). Kashin's theorem says that \mathbb{R}^{2k} can be decomposed as a sum of two orthogonal subspaces $E_0, E_1 \subset \mathbb{R}^{2k}$ with $\dim E_0 = \dim E_1 = k$ on which the L_1^{2k} - and L_2^{2k} -norms are equivalent, namely,

$$(32 e\pi)^{-1} \|x\|_{L_2^{2k}} \leq \|x\|_{L_1^{2k}} \leq \|x\|_{L_2^{2k}},$$

for all $x \in E_m$ and $m = 0, 1$. The theorem below gives an analogous result on a decomposition of L_1^n into two orthogonal subspaces with much more structure. To be more exact, the spaces are spanned by complementary subsets of a bounded orthonormal system in L_2^n , which are relatively close to a Euclidean space. Considering (a multiple of) the Walsh system (for $n = 2^\ell$) we get two orthogonal subspaces of L_2^n of dimension $\sim n/2$ spanned by ± 1 vectors and in the logarithmic distance to the Euclidean space. Let us recall again that for proportional-dimensional subspaces spanned by a subset of characters, the logarithmic term is necessary in general, at least for the trigonometric system.

Theorem 2.4 *Let $(\varphi_j)_{j=1}^n$ be an orthonormal system in L_2^n with $\|\varphi_j\|_{L_\infty^n} \leq K$ for $1 \leq j \leq n$. There exists a subset $I \subset \{1, \dots, n\}$ with $n/2 - c'\sqrt{n} \leq |I| \leq n/2 + c'\sqrt{n}$ for some absolute constant $c' > 0$, such that for $m = 0, 1$ and every $a = (a_i) \in \mathbb{C}^n$,*

$$\left(\sum_{i \in J_m} |a_i|^2 \right)^{1/2} \leq CK(\log n)^2 \left\| \sum_{i \in J_m} a_i \varphi_i \right\|_{L_1^n} \quad (2.9)$$

where $J_0 = I$ and $J_1 = I^c$ and $C > 0$ is a universal constant.

Proof. Let $k = \lfloor \lambda n \rfloor$, with $\lambda := \log 2$. We use the same notation and a part of the argument of the proof of Theorem 2.1. In particular, random vectors X_1, \dots, X_k and the subset $I \subset \{1, \dots, n\}$ are the same as before and $T = B_{L_1^n}$. It was shown that (2.8) is satisfied with $\delta' = 1/4$ and probability $\geq 3/4$. Pick any realization of the X_i 's that satisfies (2.8) hence (2.3) is valid i.e. I satisfies inequality (2.9). Let Γ be the same $k \times n$ matrix as before, we will show that

$$\text{diam}((\ker \Gamma)^\perp \cap T) \leq \rho' \quad (2.10)$$

by using a similar argument to (2.5) with $\ker \Gamma$ replaced by $(\ker \Gamma)^\perp$. Hence, the set I^c satisfies (2.9) as well. Thus having (2.8) satisfied with probability $\geq 3/4$, implies that with the same probability (2.9) will be satisfied for both I and I^c . To complete the proof it will be then sufficient to note that $|I| = n - |\{X_1, \dots, X_k\}|$, and since by the choice of λ , $1 - e^{-\lambda} = 1/2$, then by Lemma 1.3, with probability $\geq 3/4$

$$n/2 - c'\sqrt{n} \leq |I| \leq n/2 + c'\sqrt{n},$$

for some absolute constant $c' > 0$.

In the proof of (2.10) below we will refer to (2.4) rather than to (2.8), to be able to use directly parts of the proof of Theorem 2.1.

Recall that $\{\varphi_i\}_{i \in I} = \{(\varphi_j)_{j \leq n} \setminus (X_i)_{i=1}^k\}$. As before, (2.4) implies that (2.6) is valid for all $y \in T \cap \rho S_{L_2^n}$. In turn, the upper estimate in the latter inequality implies that for all $y \in T \cap \rho S_{L_2^n}$,

$$\sum_{j \in I} \langle \varphi_j, y \rangle^2 \geq \sum_{j=1}^n \langle \varphi_j, y \rangle^2 - \sum_{i=1}^k \langle X_i, y \rangle^2 \geq \rho \left(1 - \frac{4k}{3n}\right). \quad (2.11)$$

Exactly as before, (2.11) holds for all $y \in T$ for which $\|y\|_{L_2^n} \geq \rho$. Observe that since $\lambda = \log 2 < 3/4$ then $1 - 4k/(3n) > 0$. Therefore, if $y \in T$ and $\langle \varphi_j, y \rangle = 0$ for all $j \in I$, then $\|y\|_{L_2^n} \leq \rho$. Thus $\text{diam}((\ker \Gamma)^\perp \cap T) \leq \rho$ by the definition of I . \blacksquare

Let us also mention recent progress on random and non-random Euclidean sections of L_1^n of proportional dimension generated by ± 1 vectors. It has been initiated by G. Schechtman (see [Sch]) and followed by results in [LPRT], [AFMS] and [R2]. These results are based on different model than the one we adopted in this section.

3 Subsets of bounded orthonormal systems

We now pass to the discussion of subspaces generated by subsets of bounded orthonormal systems in L_p , $p > 2$. Our result is closely related to the Λ_p problem solved by Bourgain ([B]). Namely, we are loosing on the equivalence estimates (logarithmic instead of constant), although on the other hand,

curiously enough, the sets we get have cardinality larger than expected, also by a logarithmic factor.

We shall use a general setting introduced at the beginning of Section 2 and in particular the semi-norm $\|\cdot\|_{X,k}$ on L_1 defined in (2.1).

Theorem 3.1 *Let $(\varphi_j)_{j=1}^n \subset L_2$ be an orthonormal system with $\|\varphi_j\|_{L_\infty} \leq K$ for $1 \leq j \leq n$ and let $2 < p < \infty$. There exists a subset $J \subset \{1, \dots, n\}$ of cardinality $|J| \geq c_p K^{4/p} n^{2/p} (\log n)^3$ such that for all scalars a_1, \dots, a_n ,*

$$\left\| \sum_{j \in J} a_j \varphi_j \right\|_{L_p} \leq K C_p (\log n)^{3/2} \left(\sum_{j \in J} a_j^2 \right)^{1/2}$$

where c_p and C_p depend only on p .

The proof requires a lemma analogous to Lemma 2.2.

Lemma 3.2 *For every $1 < q \leq 2$ there exists a constant C_q depending only on q such that for every k and X_1, \dots, X_k with $\|X_i\|_{L_\infty} \leq K$,*

$$\gamma_2(B_{L_q}, \|\cdot\|_{X,k}) \leq K C_q (\log(1+k))^{3/2}.$$

Proof. The same argument as in Lemma 2.2 shows that

$$\gamma_2(B_{L_q}, \|\cdot\|_{X,k}) \leq C \sum_{\ell=1}^k \frac{e_\ell(S^*)}{\sqrt{\ell}}, \quad (3.1)$$

where C is an absolute constant, the operator $S : \ell_1^k \rightarrow L_p$ is defined by $S(e_i) = X_i$ for every $i = 1, \dots, k$, and $p = q/(q-1)$.

Since for $1 < p < \infty$ L_p is uniformly convex then a duality result from [BPST] yields that there is a constant c_p depending only on p such that

$$\sum_{\ell=1}^k \frac{e_\ell(S^*)}{\sqrt{\ell}} \leq c_p \sum_{\ell=1}^k \frac{e_\ell(S)}{\sqrt{\ell}}.$$

For $p \geq 2$, L_p has type 2 (with the type 2 constant depending on p), so using Proposition 1 of Carl [C], it follows that for $\ell \leq k$,

$$e_\ell(S) \leq C'_p \|S\| \sqrt{\frac{\log(1+k/\ell)}{\ell}}.$$

This inequality together with $\|S\| \leq \max_{1 \leq i \leq k} \|X_i\|_{L_p} \leq K$ gives an estimate of the right-hand side of (3.1). We conclude that

$$\gamma_2(B_{L_q}, \|\cdot\|_{X,k}) \leq K C_p (\log(1+k))^{3/2},$$

where C_p depends on p (and hence on q) only. \blacksquare

Proof of Theorem 3.1 Define the random vector X by $X = \varphi_j$ with probability $1/n$ for $1 \leq j \leq n$. Fix $k \leq n$ to be determined later and let X_1, \dots, X_k be independent copies of X .

For $k \geq 1$ set $B_2^k = \{a \in \mathbb{C}^k : \sum_{i=1}^k |a_i|^2 \leq 1\}$. We will prove that there exists $k \geq K^{4/p} C_p n^{2/p} (\log n)^3$ such that

$$\mathbb{E} \sup_{a \in B_2^k} \left\| \sum_{i=1}^k a_i X_i \right\|_{L_p} \leq K C_p (\log n)^{3/2}. \quad (3.2)$$

The theorem will follow by applying Lemma 1.3 for $k_0 = [K^{4/p} C_p n^{2/p} (\log n)^3] + 1$ and observing that (in the notation of that lemma) with high probability, $\alpha_{k_0} \geq k_0/2$. Thus, the set $\{X_1, \dots, X_{k_0}\}$ contains at least $k_0/2$ distinct vectors φ_j 's.

Passing to the proof of (3.2),

$$\mathbb{E} \sup_{a \in B_2^k} \left\| \sum_{i=1}^k a_i X_i \right\|_{L_p} = \mathbb{E} \sup_{y \in B_{L_q}} \left(\sum_{i=1}^k \langle X_i, y \rangle^2 \right)^{1/2}.$$

Next, apply Theorem 1.2 for $F = B_{L_q}$. Recall that $d_{\infty,k}(f, g) = \|f - g\|_{X,k}$ and note that the latter expectation is less than or equal to

$$\begin{aligned} & \mathbb{E} \sup_{y \in B_{L_q}} \left(\sum_{i=1}^k \langle X_i, y \rangle^2 - k \mathbb{E} \langle X, y \rangle^2 \right)^{1/2} + \sqrt{k} \sigma_F \\ & \leq C \left(\left(\max(\sqrt{k} \sigma_F U_k, U_k^2) \right)^{1/2} + \sqrt{k} \sigma_F \right). \end{aligned}$$

By Lemma 3.2, $U_k \leq K C_p (\log k)^{3/2}$, and since the functions φ_i are bounded in L_∞ it is evident that for every $(a_i)_{i=1}^n \in \mathbb{C}^n$,

$$\left\| \sum_{i=1}^n a_i \varphi_i \right\|_{L_\infty} \leq K \sum_{i=1}^n |a_i| \leq K \sqrt{n} \left(\sum_{i=1}^n |a_i|^2 \right)^{1/2}.$$

Moreover, since $(\varphi_i)_{i=1}^n$ are orthonormal in L_2 then

$$\left\| \sum_{i=1}^n a_i \varphi_i \right\|_{L_2} = \left(\sum_{i=1}^n |a_i|^2 \right)^{1/2},$$

and by Hölder inequality it follows that for every $p \geq 2$ and every $(a_i)_{i=1}^n \in \mathbb{C}^n$,

$$\left\| \sum_{i=1}^n a_i \varphi_i \right\|_{L_p} \leq K^{1-2/p} n^{1/2-1/p} \left(\sum_{i=1}^n |a_i|^2 \right)^{1/2}.$$

Considering the operator $S : \ell_2^n \rightarrow L_p$ defined by $S(e_i) = \varphi_i$ for $i = 1, \dots, n$ the above inequality means that $\|S\| \leq K^{1-2/p} n^{1/2-1/p}$. By duality,

$$\begin{aligned} \sigma_F &:= \sup_{y \in B_{L_q}} (\mathbb{E} \langle X, y \rangle^2)^{1/2} = n^{-1/2} \sup_{y \in B_{L_q}} \left(\sum_{i=1}^n \langle \varphi_i, y \rangle^2 \right)^{1/2} \\ &= n^{-1/2} \|S^*\| \leq K^{1-2/p} n^{-1/p}. \end{aligned}$$

Therefore, by Theorem 1.2,

$$\begin{aligned} &\mathbb{E} \sup_{a \in B_2^k} \left\| \sum_{i=1}^k a_i X_i \right\|_{L_p} \\ &\leq C \left(\left(\max(\sqrt{k} K^{2-2/p} c_p n^{-1/p} (\log k)^{3/2}, K^2 c_p^2 (\log k)^3) \right)^{1/2} \right. \\ &\quad \left. + \sqrt{k} K^{1-2/p} n^{-1/p} \right). \end{aligned}$$

Setting k to be the smallest integer greater than $K^{4/p} C_p n^{2/p} (\log n)^3$, we obtain the claimed inequality (3.2). \blacksquare

References

- [AFMS] S. ARTSTEIN-AVIDAN, O. FRIEDLAND, V. MILMAN & S. SODIN, *Polynomial bounds for large Bernoulli sections of the cross polytope*, preprint.
- [B] J. BOURGAIN, *Bounded orthogonal systems and the $\Lambda(p)$ -set problem*, Acta Math. **162** (1989), no. 3-4, 227-245.

- [BPST] J. BOURGAIN, A. PAJOR, S. SZAREK & N. TOMCZAK-JAEGERMANN, *On the duality problem for entropy numbers of operators*, in *Geometric aspects of functional analysis (1987–88)*, 50–63, Lecture Notes in Math., 1376, Springer, Berlin, 1989.
- [C] B. CARL, *Inequalities of Bernstein-Jackson-type and the degree of compactness of operators in Banach spaces*, Ann. Inst. Fourier (Grenoble) **35** (1985), no. 3, 79–118.
- [GZ] E. GINÉ AND J. ZINN, *Some limit theorems for empirical processes. With discussion*, Ann. Probab. **12** (1984), no. 4, 929–998.
- [GR] O. GUÉDON & M. RUDELSON, *L_p moments of random vectors via majorizing measures*, to appear in Adv. Math.
- [LPRT] A. LITVAK, A. PAJOR, M. RUDELSON & N. TOMCZAK-JAEGERMANN, *Smallest singular value of random matrices and geometry of random polytopes*, Adv. Math. **195** (2005), no. 2, 491–523.
- [K] B. KASHIN, *The widths of certain finite-dimensional sets and classes of smooth functions*, Izv. Akad. Nauk SSSR Ser. Mat. 41 (1977), no. 2, 334–351, 478.
- [P] G. PISIER, *The volume of convex bodies and Banach space geometry*, Cambridge Univ. Press, Cambridge, 1989.
- [R1] M. RUDELSON, Private communication
- [R2] M. RUDELSON, *Lower estimates for the singular values of random matrices*, C. R. Math. Acad. Sci. Paris **342** (2006), no. 4, 247–252.
- [RV] M. RUDELSON & R. VERSHYNIN, *Sparse reconstruction by convex relaxation: Fourier and Gaussian measurements*, CISS 2006 (40th Annual Conference on Information Sciences and Systems).
- [S] S. SZAREK, *On Kashin’s almost Euclidean orthogonal decomposition of l_n^1* , Bull. Acad. Polon. Sci. Sér. Sci. Math. Astronom. Phys. **26** (1978), no. 8, 691–694.
- [ST] S. SZAREK & N. TOMCZAK-JAEGERMANN, *On nearly Euclidean decomposition for some classes of Banach spaces*. Compositio Math. **40** (1980), no. 3, 367–385.
- [Sch] G. SCHECHTMAN, *Special orthogonal splittings of L_1^{2k}* , Israel J. Math. **139** (2004), 337–347.
- [Ta1] M. TALAGRAND, *The generic chaining*, Springer, Berlin, 2005.
- [Ta2] M. TALAGRAND, *Selecting a proportion of characters*, Israel J. Math. **108** (1998), 173–191.

O. Guédon
Institut de Mathématiques de Jussieu,
Université Pierre et Marie Curie, Paris 6,
4 place Jussieu, 75005 Paris, France
e-mail: `guedon@math.jussieu.fr`

S. Mendelson,
Centre for Mathematics and its Applications,
The Australian National University,
Canberra, ACT 0200, Australia
e-mail: `shahar.mendelson@anu.edu.au`

A. Pajor,
Équipe d'Analyse et Mathématiques Appliquées,
Université de Marne-la-Vallée,
5, boulevard Descartes, Champs sur Marne,
77454 Marne-la-Vallée, Cedex 2, France
e-mail: `Alain.Pajor@univ-mlv.fr`

N. Tomczak-Jaegermann,
Dept. of Math. and Stat. Sciences,
University of Alberta,
Edmonton, Alberta, Canada, T6G 2G1.
e-mail: `nicole.tomczak@ualberta.ca`